### **Lesson 7 Outline**

**Course Number: XXXX** 

Course: Foundations of Critical Infrastructure Security and Resilience

#### **University of XXXXXX**

#### **Fall/Spring Semester 20XX**

**LESSON 7 TOPIC:** ENABLING CISR, MANAGING RISK, AND MEASURING

PERFORMANCE: THE VOLUNTARY APPROACH

### 1. Lesson Goals/Objectives:

- Explain the strengths and weaknesses of the various voluntary approaches to CISR across the various sectors.
- Evaluate how risks are assessed and managed and how performance is measured in those sectors in which security is not regulated by a government entity.
- Identify and discuss the various resources made available by the Federal government to other levels of government and the private sector to foster CISR program development and implementation.

#### 2. Discussion Topics:

- What are the sectors in which security is not under government regulatory oversight? Which sectors use a hybrid voluntary-regulatory approach?
- What are the different approaches to voluntary security collaboration and coordination across the sectors? How does each address the major areas of risk assessment, management, and performance measurement?
- How does government at various levels relate to the private sector in these various sector level approaches/models?
- What are the strengths and weaknesses of a purely voluntary approach to CISR?
- Are there one or more models of voluntary security collaboration/coordination that stands out as more effective than the others? If so, why?
- How do voluntary security regimes deal with "outside-the-fence" security concerns as well as critical dependency/interdependency issues?
- Is the voluntary approach working to produce a measurable increase in security in those sectors in which regulation is not operative?
- What are the various resources made available by the Federal government to other levels of government and the private sector to foster CISR program development and implementation?
- **3. In–class Exercise.** Learners will be divided into sector-specific discussion groups. Each group will be prepared to discuss and provide examples related to one of the NIPP Sector-Specific Plans (SSPs) in which CSIR primarily operates under a voluntary construct. Individual SSP reading assignments will be made by the instructor at the end of the previous lesson. The SSPs can be located at the following

website: http://www.dhs.gov/critical-infrastructure-sectors.

#### 4. Required Reading:

Lewis, Sector-specific Chapters (per in-class activity assignment)

Collins and Baggett, Chapters 8 and 9.

Philip Auerswald, Lewis M. Branscomb, Todd M. LaPorte and Erwann Michel-Kerjan, *The Challenge of Protecting Critical Infrastructure*,

2005, http://opim.wharton.upenn.edu/risk/downloads/05-11-EMK.pdf.

Bill Johnstone, New Strategies to Protect America: Terrorism and Mass Transit after London and Madrid, 2007,

http://www.americanprogress.org/issues/security/news/2005/08/10/1592/new-strategies-to-protect-america-terrorism-and-mass-transit-after-london-and-madrid/

Claudia Copeland, *Terrorism and Security Issues Facing the Water Sector*, 2009, <a href="http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/terror/RL32189.pdf">http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/terror/RL32189.pdf</a>.

U.S. Government Accountability Office, *Homeland Security: Actions Needed to Improve Response to Potential Terrorist Attacks and Natural Disasters Affecting Food and Agriculture*, August 2011, <a href="http://www.gao.gov/products/GAO-11-652">http://www.gao.gov/products/GAO-11-652</a>

National Academy of Sciences, *Sustainable Critical Infrastructure Systems: A Framework for Meeting 21<sup>st</sup> Century Imperatives*, 2009, http://www.nap.edu/openbook.php?record\_id=12638&page=R1.

Association of Corporate Counsel, *Superstorm Sandy foreshadows a new paradigm for protecting critical communications and electric infrastructure*, November 2012, http://www.lexology.com/library/detail.aspx?g=04ab535e-3535-465d-a41d-5605a6502833

Nessler, Clay, *Building Resilience – Six Lessons from Superstorm Sandy*, 2013, http://www.institutebe.com/smart-grid-smart-building/Building-Resilience.aspx

Gridwise Alliance, *Improving Electric Grid Reliability and Resilience: Lessons Learned from Superstorm Sandy and Other Extreme Events*, June 2013, <a href="https://www.naseo.org/Data/Sites/1/documents/committees/energysecurity/documents/gridwise-superstorm-sandy-workshop-report.pdf">https://www.naseo.org/Data/Sites/1/documents/committees/energysecurity/documents/gridwise-superstorm-sandy-workshop-report.pdf</a>

Erickson, Mitchell, D., A Bridge to Prosperity: Resilient Infrastructure Makes a Resilient Nation, 2009, <a href="http://view.fdu.edu/files/brkprsericksonapr10.pdf">http://view.fdu.edu/files/brkprsericksonapr10.pdf</a>

#### 4. Additional Readings (See above for special instructions):

NIPP Sector Specific Plans (Communications, Defense Industrial Base, Energy (Oil & Gas), Financial Services, Food and Agriculture, Information Technology, Transportation Systems, and Water and Wastewater Systems) located

at http://www.dhs.gov/critical-infrastructure-sectors

George Mason University, The Center for Infrastructure Protection and Homeland Security, *Critical Infrastructure Protection: Elements of Risk*, Various articles, 2007, <a href="http://cip.gmu.edu/archive/archive/RiskMonograph\_1207\_rv.pdf">http://cip.gmu.edu/archive/archive/RiskMonograph\_1207\_rv.pdf</a>.

Daniel Prieto, *Mass Transit after the London Bombings*, 2005, <a href="http://belfercenter.ksg.harvard.edu/publication/3275/mass\_transit\_security\_after\_the\_london\_bombings.html?breadcrumb=%2Fexperts%2F812%2Fdaniel\_b\_prieto.">http://belfercenter.ksg.harvard.edu/publication/3275/mass\_transit\_security\_after\_the\_london\_bombings.html?breadcrumb=%2Fexperts%2F812%2Fdaniel\_b\_prieto.</a>

U.S. Government Accounting Office, *Surface Transportation Security: TSA Has Taken Action to Manage Risk, Improve Coordination, and Measure Performance, but Additional Actions Would Enhance Its Effort*, April 2010, http://www.gao.gov/new.items/d10650t.pdf.

## Foundations of Critical Infrastructure Security and Resilience

Lesson 7: Enabling CISR, Managing Risk, and Measuring Performance: The Voluntary Approach

## **Lesson 7 Objectives**

- ▶ Explain the strengths and weaknesses of the various voluntary approaches to CISR across the various sectors.
- ▶ Evaluate how risks are assessed and managed and how performance is measured in those sectors in which security is not regulated by a government entity.
- Identify and discuss the various resources made available by the Federal government to other levels of government and the private sector to foster CISR program development and implementation.

## Managing Critical Infrastructure Risk

- Key Factors (Voluntary CISR approach)
  - Defining the value proposition
  - Leadership/governance/organization
  - Public-private cooperation & collaboration (risk assessment, planning, information sharing, contingency response)
  - Dependencies/Interdependencies analysis
  - R&D/technological solutions
  - Resilient Design
  - Cybersecurity
  - Incentivization

# NIPP Risk Management Framework: How does this work under a voluntary paradigm?

- ➤ Physical, Cyber, and Human Elements of Risk
- ➤ Set Goals and Objectives
- ➤ Identify Infrastructure
- ➤ Assess and Analyze Risk
- ➤ Implement Risk Management Activities
  - ➤ Identify, Deter, Detect, Disrupt, and Prepare for Threats and Hazards
  - Reduce Vulnerabilities
  - ➤ Mitigate Consequences
- ➤ Measure Effectiveness

## **Building Resilience in a Voluntary CISR Construct: Electricity Subsector Case Study**

- ▶ Reduce the initial damage to building systems and infrastructure
- ▶ Improve the reliability of emergency back-up systems
- Have buildings support limited critical services for extended periods of time
- Designate and upgrade select buildings to provide critical community services
- Use passive design principles to increase building resilience
- Use distributed generation and micro-grids to increase community resilience

## **In-Class Exercise**

Learners will be divided into sector-specific discussion groups. Each group will be prepared to discuss and provide examples related to one of the NIPP SSPs in which CISR primarily operates under a voluntary construct.

## **Discussion Questions**

- What are the sectors in which security is not under government regulatory oversight? Which sectors use a hybrid voluntary-regulatory approach?
- What are the different approaches to voluntary security collaboration and coordination across the sectors? How does each address the major areas of risk assessment, management, and performance measurement?
- How does government at various levels relate to the private sector in these various sector level approaches/models?
- What are the strengths and weaknesses of a purely voluntary approach to CISR?
- ▶ Are there one or more models of voluntary security collaboration/coordination that stands out as more effective than the others? If so, why?

## **Discussion Questions (Cont.)**

- ▶ How do voluntary security regimes deal with "outside-the-fence" security concerns as well as critical dependency/interdependency issues?
- Is the voluntary approach working to produce a measurable increase in security in those sectors in which regulation is not operative?
- ▶ What are the various resources made available by the Federal government to other levels of government and the private sector to foster CISR program development and implementation?